

## 4 Fleeing Canada

### 4.1 Enter 2019

Entering into 2019, [REDACTED] (biological mother) and [REDACTED] (the man who sexually abused my children), were living together in [REDACTED], Ontario, with a child of their own, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] also had two boys from a prior relationship and, when they were not removed from his care or in the custody of child protection authorities, they would stay with them half time. Once, [REDACTED] witnessed [REDACTED] attempt to strangle one of his children and had to give a statement to child protective services.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] would visit [REDACTED].

### 4.2 Sexual Abuse

13

Application for Asylum [REDACTED], et al

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were subject to sexual abuse at the hands of [REDACTED] over a seven week period shortly prior to the [REDACTED] wedding. The severity of the abuse started gradually and increased with each incident, ranging from grooming, gaslighting and other emotional abuse, to invitation to sexual touch, molestation, forcible confinement, muzzling by force and other horrific acts. [REDACTED].

The incidents occurred on weekends while [REDACTED] had access to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], and while [REDACTED] was either working or out of the house, having left [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with [REDACTED]. Whenever [REDACTED] was home, [REDACTED] took a much more distanced approach from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. It was only when [REDACTED] was not present that the abuse continued.

When [REDACTED] w [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

were taking custody away from me. He told them that he was going to be their [REDACTED] because he “got them.” Everything that [REDACTED] said seemed awkward to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; however, [REDACTED] had instructed them to obey [REDACTED] and to get along with him.

Once, [REDACTED] was sitting in the living room and [REDACTED] sat down beside. He started playing lightly with [REDACTED]’s hair, somewhat braiding it. [REDACTED] acted as if nothing were the matter but she felt his breath on her neck. [REDACTED] was trying to kiss [REDACTED]’s neck. [REDACTED] turned to him and asked “wtf was that?” to which he replied that he was just trying to blow a fluff out of her hair. Later on that day, [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] that if he told [REDACTED], that she would be in trouble and wouldn’t be able to see [REDACTED] any more.

When [REDACTED] would take [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to Walmart, he would buy them candy or other treats and rub the inner thigh of whomever was sitting in the front passenger seat and remind the children that he was going to be their dad soon. When the girls attended the home of [REDACTED]’s parents to use their pool, [REDACTED]

would enter a closet proximate to the washroom used for changing, and would have view of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] as they got changed into and out of their bathing suits.

When [REDACTED] was not present, [REDACTED] wanted to play contact games with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], but when [REDACTED] was present, he distanced himself.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] made a friend in the community, a girl approximately two years older than the girls (name reserved). [REDACTED] would quite obviously stare at the friend's chest, buttocks, face and legs. [REDACTED] was told by this friend that she felt uncomfortable by this. The friend described [REDACTED] as a creep and, eventually, stopped attending the home.

At night, [REDACTED] would follow [REDACTED] into the bedroom assigned to the girls and "tuck them into bed," a procedure that also grew in intensity. When [REDACTED] would leave the room, [REDACTED] would enter (in contravention of court orders for him to be supervised), often closing the door behind him. [REDACTED] began by forcibly pulling blankets off the girls, the blankets they were trying to use as a barrier. He would start by pulling the blankets away, these would be the blankets the girls tried to cover themselves with. He would press down upon [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with his own body, kiss them with open mouth and squeeze her ([REDACTED]) buttocks.

14

Application for Asylum [REDACTED], et al

Eventually this grew to all out assaults. For each [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], in sequence, he would hold them down, pinned under his own weight, grope their chest, remove his shirt and lift theirs, put his hand over their mouth, press his hips into their groin, run his hand under their panties and attempt to insert digits into their vagina. In [REDACTED]'s case, he put something on her forehead and then on her cheek. In retrospect, [REDACTED] believes this to have been his penis. Regardless of how much [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] protested and called out for [REDACTED], the assault continued.

In total, there were 4 ([REDACTED]) or 5 ([REDACTED]) evening incidents, and an equal number of daytime incidents, before I was made aware.

#### **4.2.1 Emotional Abuse at School**

After the sexual abuse was stopped, emotional abuse followed from the school the girls had been attending. [REDACTED] had advised the principal of the school that the girls had imagined their abuse and that I was to blame. The principal took it upon himself to remove the girls three or more times daily from class, and to bring them into empty classrooms to question their activities. He would ask questions about their home life and insist that they weren't telling the truth when they reported their happiness with me. He would act with a degree of familiarity that the girls found 'creepy' and would try to hug them, etc. This until [REDACTED] grabbed his hand from his shoulder and forced his arm down into a Brazilian-Jiu-Jitsu-style hold, telling him in no uncertain terms to stop. He did, and the behaviour ceased entirely.

#### **4.3 Reporting Abuse**

I called the police of my community immediately, followed by child protection authorities. I was instructed to contact the police service where the incidents had occurred, who then instructed me to

contact the police of my community. I was instructed by the child protection authorities to bring the children to the police headquarters of my community for interviews, but no police were waiting or had any idea that we had been instructed to attend. Furthermore, child protection authorities had forgotten about us entirely. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] took this to heart and felt ignored, probably because they were.

Days later, I was instructed to attend police headquarters again, but only so detectives could take a statement from me and not one from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The statement was taken and to be distributed to the police service where the incidents occurred. The degree of frustration was mounting and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] continued to be ignored.

Days later and we had heard nothing from the police service where the incidents occurred. Weeks later, I continued calling but was promptly told that no such report exists and that I was to stop calling. I put a call into the commander of that police force and a few days later received a call from a police detective who had taken the report without properly signing it out, left it at her home and taken leave, with apologies.

We were expecting the investigation to pick up pace; however, it was weeks before the detective invited [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] for a formal interview, and when she did it was not for purposes of a

15

Application for Asylum [REDACTED], et al

criminal investigation, it was for the child protection authority to use in determining abuse, so not for criminal prosecution. We had been ignored again. By this time, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were beginning to resent the police and were very hesitant to go further.

When the girls attended the police station for the interview, they were invited to a large bowl of candy and other sugar products. Rather than risk them carrying upset into the interview, I allowed them to eat some candy. They ate a lot of candy. Refined sugar and candy is not part of their normal diet and their reaction was obvious. I suggested that the interview be rescheduled but my suggestion was dismissed.

The children each gave a very brief interview to police, the content of which has remained secret to this day, and we were allowed to leave. Follow-up with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] indicated that they were nervous, didn't recall the entirety of events and that they just wanted to leave. They smiled and gave brief yes-no answers that they thought would end the interview. This was reported back to the detectives who, again, dismissed my concerns.

Without disclosing method, qualification, or any other metric demanded, the police detective unilaterally declared that there was no abuse. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were irate. The police refused to discuss the matter and we were left entirely dismissed. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were devastated that they were disbelieved without more scientific examination; however, according to police, the delay in investigation meant there was no evidence and, therefore, no crime.

Police and child protection authorities have steadfastly refused to disclose documents, review their examination, etc. This meant that the investigation was inconclusive and it gave raise to their biological mother calling them liars.

#### 4.4 Weaponization of the Courts

The abuse continued by ensuring that the matter was brought again and again in court, despite the children making it quite clear they wanted nothing to do with their biological mother or her husband, who had sexually molested them.

While I was afforded a lawyer at first, that privilege evaporated when she resigned my case as she did not want to take the matter to trial. So the remaining hearings, motions, confirmations and lead-ups to trial were navigated *pro se*. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were denied the right to counsel, the right to attend court and the right to speak on their own behalf, all at the request of their biological mother. This was the decision of Judge Wendy MacPherson at the request of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

Every appearance we fell further and further from a position of strength. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] repetitively asked for custody of the children, and full decision-making authority. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were terrified the courts would find for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

Eventually, the courts did find for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] but the order was non-enforceable and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] simply refused to go.

16

Application for Asylum [REDACTED], et al

For every allegation and circumstance [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were able to muster, I was able to adequately defend, until Judge J. Gibson. In late August, 2021, Judge J. Gibson, in response to yet another set of false claims and allegations, and without so much as meeting [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], ordered that [REDACTED] shall have access every other weekend. Although the order did stipulate that [REDACTED] could not be proximate to the children alone, it was too little security as he and [REDACTED] had broken those orders before. What made the matter even more serious was that Gibson ordered police to enforce the order, meaning use force against the children should they disobey.

#### **4.5 Fear the Police**

Police in Canada are becoming more and more violent. They are exhibiting more aggression, engaging less and less in dialog, and showing a diminishing respect for the law and their vested authority. Those who cross paths with the police are often seriously injured, and many see the police as a corrupt power force, and not a part of the community. Corruption and violence in policing is one of the reasons I left uniformed law enforcement. The mistreatment of people is rampant and oversight is absent.

Everyone with a television has been witness to the police shooting and slaying of Daunte Wright, Andre Hill, Manuel Ellis, Rayshard Brooks, Daniel Prude, George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Atatiana Jefferson, Aura Rosser, Stephon Clark, Botham Jean, Freddie Gray, Eric Garner, and the countless of others who have been slain by police. We saw over and over again the police brutalizing these men and women, often for no crime and without cause.

Canadian police are making their own trend with Chantal Moore, Regis Korchinski-Paquet, D'Andre Campbell and Ejaz Ahmed Choudry, four of the four hundred sixty-one deaths at the hands of police since 2000, some of which were murdered during 'welfare checks'. In all but one case, police were cleared of wrongdoing. Here lies the corruption, the police use lethal force without justification and never face criminal prosecution.

The Special Investigation Unit (SIU) is the provincial agency responsible for investigating incidents involving police that result in serious injury or death. In 2020, there were 313 such incidents yet only 4 officers were actually charged [SIU].

A recent ten-year review revealed that only twelve percent of investigations in which the SIU has laid charges are brought to disciplinary hearing against the officer involved [CBC].

The Office of the Independent Police Review Director (OIPRD) is the government agency responsible for superintending complaints against police. In the last five years, only one percent of complaints about Toronto Police officers has led to a disciplinary hearing. Between 2014 and 2019 there were 3,806 complaints made to the OIPRD about Toronto police officers, and a little over half of those complaints were referred back to the police service to investigate – police investigating their own police. Only 92 of those complaints, or two per cent, were substantiated, and only one per cent have gone before the Toronto police disciplinary tribunal. In some cases, complaints were substantiated but ‘timed out’ and could not be tried [CBC].

17

Application for Asylum ██████████ et al

In the past ten years, none of the twenty one Toronto police officers who were charged by the SIU, but were not convicted in court, appeared before a disciplinary hearing. The twenty one acts involve criminal assault, domestic violence, etc [CBC].

A Toronto police officer hit his wife in the face, knocking her to the ground. When she tried to run away, he chased her and hit her again. He was forced to forfeit 120 hours and is still a police officer today. A Toronto police officer crashed and rolled his personal vehicle. He had a open container of liquor and was more than two times the legal limit. The officer, who had previous convictions, was reduced in rank for two years then promptly reinstated and is still a police officer today. A Toronto police officer was caught crossing the U.S.-Canada border with a prohibited knife and a loaded, unregistered, unlicensed handgun. The officer forfeited twenty days and is currently a police officer.

Canadian police officers have become hyper-aggressive and combative. They are poorly supervised and have little regard for the health and safety of the people they serve. They rarely face justice and when they do, the punishment for their heinous acts is little more than a slap on the wrist. Police very, very rarely lose their job or face criminal charges, even when they murder someone.

Given these facts, the Gibson order meant we had two options:

*Option No. 1. Acquiesce. ██████ and ██████ would comply with the order. They would be confined to a car with their biological mother, taken to the house where they were abused, or to another place they neither know nor trust, and likely be subjected to the same sexual abuse. There is zero reason to suggest any different.*

*Option No. 2. Resist. Biological Mother would call on police to “enforce” the order. Police would use force to make ██████ and ██████ acquiesce. When they refuse to cooperate, police will use excessive force to effectively stuff my children into biological mother’s vehicle, or into the back of a police vehicle,*

